

**Peer Review Procedure  
for manuscripts submitted to *Control Sciences***

1. Each manuscript submitted to the Journal is considered by the Editorial Office. If the minimum criteria (compliance with the Journal scope, scientific level, scientific misconduct, or formatting rules) are not satisfied, a reasoned rejection notification is sent to the author(s). Otherwise, the manuscript is peer-reviewed.\* Two reviewers are usually chosen as recommended by an Editorial Board member responsible for the scientific field of the manuscript. Peer reviewing is anonymous (single-blind).
2. If a review of the manuscript includes comments, recommendations to improve the manuscript are sent to the author(s). If a review is negative, a reasoned rejection notification is sent to the author(s). The anonymous reviews are sent to the author(s) in any case.
3. If both reviews are positive and include no comments, the manuscript is sent to the Editorial Board member for conclusion. If the conclusion is positive, the manuscript is finally considered by the Editorial Board to decide whether it will be published or rejected. If the conclusion of the Editorial Board member is negative or the manuscript is rejected by the Editorial Board, a reasoned rejection notification is sent to the author(s). If the manuscript is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board, the Editorial Board member who recommended it for publication is specified in the paper.
4. The manuscript revised by the author(s) is sent to the reviewer again, and Steps 2 and 3 are repeated.

\* A reviewer assesses the manuscript by several criteria:

- subject (compliance with the Journal scope),
- presentation (clarity, logical structure, and volume and compliance with the manuscript title),
- scientific results (significance, originality, and usefulness).

Editor-in-Chief  
RAS Corresponding Member,  
Dr. Sci. (Eng.), Prof.

Dmitry A. Novikov